

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMME United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Roy 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/749,631	12/28/2000	Takao Shimamura	Q62040	5992
75	90 06/04/2004		EXAMI	NER
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN			HINDI, NABIL Z	
MACPEAK &	SEAS, PLLC			<u> </u>
Suite 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.			2655	<u> </u>
Washington, DC 20037-3213			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004	18

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	09/749,631	SHIMAMURA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
7	NABIL Z HINDI	2655				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro e, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 /	March 2004.					
<u> </u>						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-61 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10,12-51 and 53-60 is/are allowed 6) □ Claim(s) 52 and 61 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	= : :	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receive tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summal Paper No(s)/Mail	Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/749,631

Art Unit: 2655

In response to applicant's letter dated March 26, 2004. the following action is taken: In view of applicant's remarks. The restriction requirement dated Oct. 27, 2003 and March 26, 2004 are hereby withdrawn. All the claims are examined on the merit.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 52 and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nomura (6298024).

The limitations of claims 52 and 61 read on the inherent feature of the optical disk read out operation. The limitation merely read on a disk accessing operation wherein the light beam is moved from one location to another generating an RF signal representative of the data on the disk. On a fist location an RF signal is generated, then the spot is moved to a different location generating another RF signal. The RF signal must be compared to a predetermined level in order to determine the data on the disk. The reference shows the use of an optical disk where a focused light beam is moved from a pit location to a mirror location generating an RF signal having a predetermined level as shown in fig s 4A-7.

Claims 1-10, 12-51, and 53-60 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2655

None of the cited prior art shows or teaches an optical disk classifying operation wherein while the disk is rotating, moving the head into a non-program area of the disk (lead-in area) to determine if a read signal have a valid level. If the signal does not have a valid level, the head is moved to a program area of the disk (out side the lead-in area of the disk) to determined if the second signal have a valid level in order to classify the disk while disk is rotating as argued by applicant.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to NABIL Z HINDI at telephone number (703) 308-1555.

£20(1P 2500